The α -labeling number of comets is 2

Tanbir Ahmed

Department of Computer Science and Software Engineering Concordia University, Montréal, Canada

ta_ahmed@cs.concordia.ca

Hunter Snevily*

Department of Mathematics University of Idaho - Moscow, Idaho, USA

Abstract

We investigate the claim that for every tree T (with m edges), there exists an α -labeling of T, or else there exists a graph H_T with an α -labeling such that H_T can be decomposed into two edge-disjoint copies of T. We prove that the above claim is true for comets $C_{m,2}$. This is particularly noteworthy since comets $C_{m,2}$ are known to have arbitrarily large α -deficits.

1 Introduction

Given a graph G, an injective function $f: V(G) \to \mathbb{N}$ is called a *vertex* labeling, or a vertex numbering of G. Such a function f on a graph G with m edges is known as a graceful-labeling if f is an injection from V(G) to the set $\{0, 1, \ldots, m\}$ such that the values |f(x) - f(y)| for all m pairs of adjacent vertices x, y are distinct. A labeling f is bipartite if there exists an integer λ so that for each edge xy either $f(x) \leq \lambda < f(y)$ or $f(y) \leq \lambda < f(x)$. A labeling f is an α -labeling if it is graceful and bipartite.

Clearly, if G has an α -labeling, then G must be bipartite. Suppose G is bipartite with m edges and degree-sequence d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n . Wu [9] showed that the necessary condition for G having an α -labeling is

$$gcd(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n, m) \mid \binom{m}{2}.$$

^{*}Hunter Snevily passed away on November 11, 2013 after his long struggle with Parkinson's disease. We have lost a good friend and colleague. He will be greatly missed and fondly remembered.

The following theorem is a classical result on α -labeling of graphs.

Theorem 1 (Rosa [4]). Let G be a graph with m edges, and let G have an α -labeling. Then the complete graph K_{2pm+1} can be decomposed into isomorphic copies of G, where p is an arbitrary positive integer.

Snevily [8] introduced the following graph parameter motivated by Rosa's result:

A bipartite graph G with m edges eventually has an α -labeling if there exists a graph H with $t \cdot m$ edges (where t is a positive integer), such that H has an α -labeling and can be decomposed into edge-disjoint copies of G. Such a graph H is called the host graph of G.

Suppose G is a bipartite graph that eventually has an α -labeling; then the α -labeling number of G, denoted G_{α} is defined as follows:

$$G_{\alpha} = \min \{t : \exists a \text{ host graph } H \text{ such that } |E(H)| = t \cdot m\}.$$

Snevily [8] conjectured that for every bipartite graph $G, G_{\alpha} < \infty$, which was later proved by El-Zanati, Fu and Shiue [2]. There are no known examples of a graph G with $G_{\alpha} > 2$ (See Gallian [3]). Snevily also conjectured that for a tree T with m edges, $T_{\alpha} \leq m$. Shiue and Fu [6] proved that α -labeling number for a tree with m edges and radius r is at most $\lceil r/2 \rceil m$. They also prove that a tree with m edges and radius r decomposes K_t for some $t \leq (r+1)m^2 + 1$.

In this paper, we conjecture the following:

Conjecture 1. For any tree T,

 $T_{\alpha} \leqslant 2.$

For a tree T, the α -deficit $\alpha_{def}(T)$ equals $m - \alpha(T)$, where $\alpha(T)$ is defined as the maximum number of distinct edge labels over all bipartite labelings of T.

Observation 1 ([8]). Let G = (X, Y) be a bipartite graph with m edges and consider the graph rG consisting of r disjoint copies of G. Suppose there exists a labeling function

$$h: V(rG) \to \{0, 1, 2, \dots, rm\}$$

such that

- (i) the labels assigned to the vertices in any single copy of G (in rG) are distinct,
- (ii) if $(x, y) \in E(rG)$, then the value |h(x) h(y)| is assigned to the edge (x, y), and no other edge in E(rG),

(*iii*) there exists some real number λ_h such that if $G_i = (X_i, Y_i)$ is some copy of G in rG then

$$\max\left\{h(x): x \in X_i\right\} \leqslant \lambda_h < \min\left\{h(y): y \in Y_i\right\},\$$

or else

$$\max \{h(y) : y \in Y_i\} \leqslant \lambda_h < \min \{h(x) : x \in X_i\}$$

Let

$$S = \{x : x \in V(rG) \text{ and } h(x) \leq \lambda_h\}$$

and

$$T = \{ y : y \in V(rG) \text{ and } h(y) > \lambda_h \}$$

Clearly, S and T are independent sets. Now we can take the labeled version of rG and create a new graph H by identifying vertices (from different copies of G) with the same label. Hence H is a bipartite graph with |E(H)| = rm, and that H has α -labeling. Clearly H is a host graph of G.

2 α -labeling number of comets

The comet $C_{m,k}$ is obtained from the star $K_{1,m}$ by replacing each edge in $K_{1,m}$ with a path of length k. Rosa and Širáň [5] showed that for every $m \ge 1$,

$$\alpha_{def}(\mathcal{C}_{m,2}) = \lfloor m/3 \rfloor,$$

which implies that $(\mathcal{C}_{m,2})_{\alpha} \ge 2$ for $m \ge 3$.

Let $\mathcal{C}'_{m,j}$ be a comet-like tree with a central vertex of degree m, and each neighbour of the central vertex is attached to j pendant vertices where $j \ge 1$. Here, $\mathcal{C}_{m,2} = \mathcal{C}'_{m,1}$.

2.1 Construction for $(\mathcal{C}'_{m,j})_{\alpha}$ where $m \ge 3$ and $j \ge 1$

Comet $C'_{m,j}$ has 1 + m + mj vertices and m + mj edges. We construct a graph $2C'_{m,j}$ with 2m(j+1) edges that has an α -labeling and can be decomposed into two edge-disjoint copies isomorphic to $C'_{m,j}$.

We start with two disjoint copies C_1 and C_2 of $\mathcal{C}'_{m,j}$ and then we utilize Observation 1. Note that there are three types of vertices in $\mathcal{C}'_{m,j}$: one central vertex of degree m, m vertices of degree j + 1, and mj pendant vertices.

Let the central vertices in C_1 and C_2 be x_0 and y_0 , respectively. Let the degree-(j + 1) vertices in C_1 and C_2 be x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m and y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_m ,

respectively. Let in $C_1,$ the pendant vertices attached to x_i with $1\leqslant i\leqslant m$ be

$$x_{m+(i-1)j+1}, x_{m+(i-1)j+2}, \dots, x_{m+(i-1)j+j}$$

and in $C_2,$ the pendant vertices attached to y_i with $1\leqslant i\leqslant m$ be

$$y_{m+(i-1)j+1}, y_{m+(i-1)j+2}, \dots, y_{m+(i-1)j+j}$$

We define a labeling function

$$h: \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{m+mj}, y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{m+mj}\} \to \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 2m+2mj\}.$$

Label x_0 and y_0 as 0 and 2mj+m, respectively. The vertices x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m in C_1 and y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_m in C_2 share m labels in common, which are

$$2mj + m + 1, 2mj + m + 2, 2mj + m + 3, \dots, 2mj + 2m,$$

in the same order from left to right for the indices i = 1, 2, ..., m.

Now, for the k-th pendant vertex attached to x_i and y_i for i = 1, 2, ..., m, set

(i) m odd:

$$h(x_{m+(i-1)j+k}) = (2i-1) + (k-1)m$$
, and
 $h(y_{m+(i-1)j+k}) = h(x_{m+(i-1)j+k}) + mj.$

respectively. For example, $2\mathcal{C}_{3,2}'$ looks as follows:



(ii) m even: $h(x_{m+(i-1)j+k})$ equals

$$\begin{cases} m + (2i-1) + (t-1)2m, & \text{if } k = 2t; \\ m + mj + (2i-1) + (t-1)2m, & \text{if } k = 2t-1 \text{ and } j \text{ even}; \\ mj + (2i-1) + (t-1)2m, & \text{if } k = 2t-1 \text{ and } j \text{ odd}. \end{cases}$$

and

$$h(y_{m+(i-1)j+k}) = h(x_{m+(i-1)j+k}) - m.$$

Example $(2\mathcal{C}'_{4,2})$:



Example $(2\mathcal{C}'_{4,3})$:



Lemma 1. Both C_1 and C_2 have distinct vertex labels.

Proof. Define

$$g(i,k,r) = \begin{cases} h(x_{m+(i-1)j+k}), & \text{if } r = 1; \\ h(y_{m+(i-1)j+k}), & \text{if } r = 2. \end{cases}$$

Now we consider the following cases:

(i) (m odd): Here, $1 \leq g(i, k, 1) \leq mj + m - 1$ and $mj + 1 \leq g(i, k, 2) \leq 2mj + m - 1$. The sequence

g(1, 1, 1),	g(2, 1, 1),	• • •	g(m, 1, 1),
g(1, 3, 1),	g(2, 3, 1),	•••	g(m, 3, 1),
:	:	:	:
g(1, 2t - 1, 1),	g(2, 2t - 1, 1),	•	g(m, 2t - 1, 1).

is a strictly increasing sequence of m[j/2] odd numbers since

- (a) g(1,1,1) = (2-1) + (1-1)m = 1,
- (b) For i = 1, 2, ..., m 1 and $1 \le t \le \lceil j/2 \rceil$,

$$g(i+1, 2t-1, 1) = g(i, 2t-1, 1) + 2$$

(c) For $t = 1, 2, ..., \lceil j/2 \rceil - 1$, g(1, 2t + 1, 1) = (2 - 1) + (2t + 1 - 1)m = (2m - 1) + (2t - 1 - 1)m + 2= g(m, 2t - 1, 1) + 2.

And the sequence

is a strictly increasing sequence of m|j/2| even numbers since

(a)
$$g(1,2,1) = (2-1) + (2-1)m = m+1,$$

(b) For $i = 1, 2, ..., m-1$ and $1 \le t \le \lfloor j/2 \rfloor,$
 $g(i+1, 2t, 1) = g(i, 2t, 1) + 2,$

(c) For
$$t = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor j/2 \rfloor - 1$$
,

$$g(1, 2t + 2, 1) = (2 - 1) + (2t + 1)m$$

= $(2m - 1) + (2t - 1)m + 2$
= $g(m, 2t, 1) + 2.$

Together, the $m\lceil j/2\rceil + m\lfloor j/2\rfloor = mj$ distinct numbers label the pendant vertices of C_1 . Since $h(y_{m+(i-1)j+k}) = h(x_{m+(i-1)j+k}) + mj$, C_2 also has distinct vertex-labels for the pendant vertices.

$(ii) \ (m \ {\rm even}, \ j \ {\rm even}):$ Consider the sequence

which is a strictly increasing sequence of mj odd numbers since

(a)
$$g(1,2,2) = 1$$
,
(b) For $i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1$ and $1 \le t \le j/2$,
 $g(i+1, 2t, 2) = (2i+1) + (t-1)2m$

$$= (2i-1) + (t-1)2m + 2$$

= $g(i, 2t, 2) + 2$,

(c) For $t = 1, 2, \dots, (j-2)/2$,

$$g(1, 2t + 2, 2) = (2 - 1) + (t + 1 - 1)2m$$

= $(2m - 1) + (t - 1)2m + 2$
= $g(m, 2t, 2) + 2$,

(d)
$$g(1,1,2) = mj + (2-1) + (1-1)2m = (2m-1) + (j/2-1)2m + 2 = g(m,j,2) + 2,$$

(e) For
$$i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1$$
 and $1 \le t \le j/2$,

$$g(i+1, 2t-1, 2) = mj + (2i+1) + (t-1)2m$$

$$= mj + (2i-1) + (t-1)2m + 2$$

$$= g(i, 2t-1, 2) + 2,$$
(f) For $t = 1, 2, ..., (j-2)/2$,

$$g(1, 2t+1, 2) = mj + (2-1) + (t+1-1)2m$$

$$g(1, 2t + 1, 2) = mj + (2 - 1) + (t + 1 - 1)2m$$

= $mj + (2m - 1) + (t - 1)2m + 2$
= $g(m, 2t - 1, 2) + 2.$

Hence, C_2 has distinct vertex-labeling and so does C_1 .

(iii) (*m* even, *j* odd): This may be demonstrated with the same argument as in the previous case, but using the sequence

Lemma 2. $2\mathcal{C}'_{m,j}$ has distinct edge-labeling, that is, each edge $(x,y) \in E(2\mathcal{C}'_{m,j})$ has a distinct value of |h(x) - h(y)| in $\{1, 2, \ldots, 2m + 2mj\}$.

Proof. By construction, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$,

$$\begin{aligned} |h(x_0) - h(x_i)| &= |0 - (m + 2mj + i)| = m + 2mj + i, \\ |h(y_0) - h(y_i)| &= |(m + 2mj) - (m + 2mj + i)| = i. \end{aligned}$$

We need to show that the remaining 2mj edges, each of which is connected to a pendant vertex, have distinct labels using

$$m+1, m+2, \ldots, m+2mj.$$

Define

$$f(i,k,r) = \begin{cases} h(x_i) - h(x_{m+(i-1)j+k}), & \text{if } r = 1; \\ h(y_i) - h(y_{m+(i-1)j+k}), & \text{if } r = 2. \end{cases}$$

Note that for positive integers $1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq k \leq j$, and $1 \leq r \leq 2$, there are exactly 2mj input combinations for f(i, k, r). Now we consider the following cases:

(i) (m odd): Consider the following sequence:

We claim that the mj numbers in the sequence are $m + 1, m + 2, \ldots, m + mj$, which can be observed from the following:

(a) The first number,

$$f(m, j, 2) = h(y_m) - h(y_{m+(m-1)j+j})$$

= $(2mj + 2m) - (mj + (2m - 1) + (j - 1)m)$
= $m + 1.$

(b) For i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1 and $1 \le k \le j$,

$$f(i,k,2) = h(y_i) - h(y_{m+(i-1)j+k})$$

= $(2mj + 2m + i) - (mj + (2i - 1) + (k - 1)m)$
= $(2mj + 2m + i + 1) - 1$
 $-(mj + (2i + 1) + (k - 1)m) + 2$
= $f(i + 1, k, 2) + 1.$

(c) For $k = 1, 2, \dots, j - 1$,

$$\begin{split} f(m,k,2) &= h(y_m) - h(y_{m+(2m-1)j+k}) \\ &= (2mj+2m) - (mj+(2m-1)+(k-1)m) \\ &= (2mj+m+1)+m-1 \\ &-(mj+(2-1)+(k+1-1)m)-m+2 \\ &= f(1,k+1,2)+1. \end{split}$$

(d) The last number,

$$f(1,1,2) = h(y_1) - h(y_{m+(1-1)j+1})$$

= $(2mj + m + 1) - (mj + (2-1) + (1-1)m)$
= $m + mj.$

Similarly, the mj numbers in the sequence

represent the numbers

$$m+mj+1, m+mj+2, \ldots, m+2mj,$$

since

$$\begin{array}{lll} f(m,j,1) &=& m+mj+1,\\ f(i,k,1) &=& f(i+1,k,1)+1 \text{ for } i=1,2,\ldots,m-1,\\ f(m,k,1) &=& f(1,k+1,1)+1 \text{ for } k=1,2,\ldots,j-1,\\ f(1,1,1) &=& m+2mj. \end{array}$$

(ii) (m even, j even):

Consider the following sequence:

We claim that the mj numbers in the sequence are $m + 1, m + 2, \ldots, m + mj$, which can be observed from the following:

(a) The first number,

$$f(m, j-1, 1) = h(x_m) - h(x_{m+(m-1)j+(j-1)}) = (2mj+2m) -(m+mj+(2m-1)+(j/2-1)2m) = m+1.$$

(b) For i = 1, 2, ..., m - 1 and $1 \le t \le j/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(i,2t-1,1) &= h(x_i) - h(x_{m+(i-1)j+(2t-1)}) \\ &= (2mj+m+i) - (m+mj+(2i-1)+(t-1)2m) \\ &= (2mj+m+i+1) - 1 \\ &-(m+mj+(2(i+1)-1)+(t-1)2m) + 2 \\ &= f(i+1,2t-1,1) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ and $1 \leq t \leq j/2$,

$$f(i, 2t - 1, 2) = f(i + 1, 2t - 1, 2) + 1.$$

(c) For $t = 1, 2, \dots, j/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(m, 2t-1, 2) &= h(y_m) - h(y_{m+(m-1)j+(2t-1)}) \\ &= (2mj+2m) - (mj+(2m-1)+(t-1)2m) \\ &= (2mj+m+1) \\ &-(m+mj+(2-1)+(t-1)2m)+1 \\ &= h(x_1) - h(x_{m+(1-1)j+(2t-1)}) + 1 \\ &= f(1, 2t-1, 1) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

(d) For $t = 1, 2, \dots, (j-2)/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(m, 2t-1, 1) &= h(x_m) - h(x_{m+(m-1)j+(2t-1)}) \\ &= (2mj+2m) - (m+mj+(2m-1)+(t-1)2m) \\ &= (2mj+m+1) + m - 1 - m \\ &-(mj+(2-1)+((t+1)-1)2m) + 2 \\ &= h(y_1) - h(y_{m+(1-1)j+(2t+1)}) + 1 \\ &= f(1, 2t+1, 2) + 1. \end{aligned}$$

(e) The last number,

$$f(1,1,2) = x_1 - x_{m+(1-1)j+1}$$

= $(2mj + m + 1) - (mj + (2-1) + (1-1)2m) = m + mj.$

Similarly, the mj numbers in the sequence

f(m, j, 1),	f(m-1,j,1),	• • •	f(1, j, 1),
f(m, j, 2),	f(m-1,j,2),	• • •	f(1, j, 2),
f(m, j-2, 1),	f(m-1, j-2, 1),	• • •	f(1, j-2, 1),
f(m, j-2, 2),	f(m-1, j-2, 2),	• • •	f(1, j-2, 2),
÷	:		:
f(m, 2, 1),	f(m-1, 2, 1),	• • •	f(1, 2, 1),
f(m, 2, 2),	f(m-1, 2, 2),	• • •	f(1, 2, 2).

represent the numbers

$$m+mj+1, m+mj+2, \ldots, m+2mj,$$

since

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f(m,j,1) &=& m+mj+1,\\ f(i,2t,1) &=& f(i+1,2t,1)+1 \text{ for } i=1,2,\ldots,m-1,\\ f(i,2t,2) &=& f(i+1,2t,2)+1 \text{ for } i=1,2,\ldots,m-1,\\ f(m,2t,2) &=& f(1,2t,1)+1 \text{ for } t=1,2,\ldots,j/2,\\ f(m,2t,1) &=& f(1,2t+2,2)+1 \text{ for } t=1,2,\ldots,(j-2)/2,\\ f(1,2,2) &=& m+2mj. \end{array}$$

 $(iii) \ (m \text{ even}, \, j \text{ odd}):$

It can be shown as in the previous case that the m(j+1) numbers in the sequence

f(m, j, 1),	f(m-1,j,1),		f(1, j, 1),
f(m, j, 2),	f(m-1, j, 2),	• • •	f(1, j, 2),
f(m, j-2, 1),	f(m-1, j-2, 1),	• • •	f(1, j - 2, 1),
f(m, j-2, 2),	f(m-1, j-2, 2),		f(1, j-2, 2),
:	:		:
f(m, 1, 1),	f(m-1,1,1),		f(1, 1, 1),
f(m, 1, 2),	f(m-1,1,2),	• • •	f(1, 1, 2).

represent the numbers

$$m+1, m+2, \ldots, 2m+mj,$$

since \mathbf{s}

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f(m,j,1) &=& m+1, \\ f(i,2t-1,1) &=& f(i+1,2t-1,1)+1 \\ && \mbox{ for } i=1,2,\ldots,m-1 \mbox{ and } 1\leqslant t\leqslant (j+1)/2, \\ f(i,2t-1,2) &=& f(i+1,2t-1,2)+1 \\ && \mbox{ for } i=1,2,\ldots,m-1 \mbox{ and } 1\leqslant t\leqslant (j+1)/2, \\ f(m,2t-1,2) &=& f(1,2t+1,1)+1 \mbox{ for } t=1,2,\ldots,(j-1)/2, \\ f(m,2t-1,1) &=& f(1,2t+1,2)+1 \mbox{ for } t=1,2,\ldots,(j-3)/2, \\ f(1,2,2) &=& 2m+2mj. \end{array}$$

And, the m(j-1) numbers in the sequence

f(m, j - 1, 1),	f(m-1, j-1, 1),	• • •	f(1, j - 1, 1),
f(m, j-1, 2),	f(m-1, j-1, 2),	• • •	f(1, j - 1, 2),
f(m, j-3, 1),	f(m-1, j-3, 1),	• • •	f(1, j - 3, 1),
f(m, j-3, 2),	f(m-1, j-3, 2),	• • •	f(1, j - 3, 2),
÷	:		÷
f(m, 2, 1),	f(m-1, 2, 1),	• • •	f(1, 2, 1),
f(m, 2, 2),	f(m-1, 2, 2),		f(1, 2, 2).

represent the numbers

$$2m + mj + 1, 2m + mj + 2, \dots, m + 2mj,$$

since

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f(m,j-1,1) &=& 2m+mj+1,\\ f(i,2t,1) &=& f(i+1,2t,1)+1\\ && \mbox{ for } i=1,2,\ldots,m-1 \mbox{ and } 1\leqslant t\leqslant (j-1)/2,\\ f(i,2t,2) &=& f(i+1,2t,2)+1\\ && \mbox{ for } i=1,2,\ldots,m-1 \mbox{ and } 1\leqslant t\leqslant (j-1)/2,\\ f(m,2t,2) &=& f(1,2t,1)+1 \mbox{ for } t=1,2,\ldots,(j-1)/2,\\ f(m,2t,1) &=& f(1,2t+2,2)+1 \mbox{ for } t=1,2,\ldots,(j-3)/2,\\ f(1,2,2) &=& m+2mj. \end{array}$$

Theorem 2. For $m \ge 3$, $(\mathcal{C}'_{m,j})_{\alpha} = 2$ where $j \ge 1$.

Proof. The proof follows from Lemmas 1 and 2, and Observation 1. \Box

3 Trees with α -deficits

In this section, we have relied on the results of Brinkmann et al. in [1].

Conjecture 2. If $\Delta_T = 2k + 1$, then $\alpha_{def}(T) \leq k$.

Conjecture 3. For all $k \ge 1$ and for all $2 \le j \le 2k$,

$$\alpha_{def}(\mathcal{C}'_{2k+1,j}) = k.$$

Lemma 3. For $k \ge 1$ and $2 \le j \le 2k$,

$$\alpha_{def}\left(\mathcal{C}_{2k+1,j}'\right) \leqslant k.$$

Proof. Consider the graph $\mathcal{C}'_{m,i}$ with m = 2k + 1. Let the vertices be

 $x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m, x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, \ldots, x_{m+mj}$

where x_0 is the central vertex with degree m, each of the vertices x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m has degree j+1, and $x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, \ldots, x_{m+mj}$ are the pendant vertices. Consider the vertex labeling h with $h(x_0) = 0$, $h(x_i) = mj+i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ and

$$h(x_{m+(i-1)j+r}) = \begin{cases} (2i-1) + (r-1)m, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m, \ 1 \leq r \leq j-1; \\ (2i-1) + (j-1)m, & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m-k. \end{cases}$$

Similar to the m-odd case of Lemma 1, the vertices

 $x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m, x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, \ldots, x_{m+mj-k}$

have distinct labels from $0, 1, 2, \ldots, m + mj$. Similar to the *m*-odd case of Lemma 2, all edges have distinct labels except that the labels for the *k* edges $(x_i, x_{m+(i-1)j+j})$ with $i = m-k+1, m-k+2, \ldots, m$ are missing. \Box

Proposition 1. For $k \ge 1$ and $2 \le j \le 2k$,

 $\alpha_{def}\left(\mathcal{C}_{2k+1,j}'\right) > 0.$

Proof. Let $G = \mathcal{C}'_{m,i}$ where m = 2k + 1 with vertices

$$x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m, x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, \ldots, x_{m+mj}$$

where x_0 is the central vertex with degree m and $x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, \ldots, x_{m+mj}$ are the pendant vertices. Assume that G has an α -labeling ℓ . Then, the sum of all edge-labels,

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{m+mj} i = (m+mj)(m+mj+1)/2 \equiv 0 \pmod{m}.$$

By Remark B1 of Brinkmann et al. [1], let the vertices x_i for i = 1, 2, ..., m be labeled with mj + i, respectively. The remaining numbers 0, 1, 2, ..., mj label x_0 and the pendant vertices. For any choice of $\ell(x_0) \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., mj\}$, we have

$$S_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m (\ell(x_i) - \ell(x_0)) = \sum_{i=1}^m (\ell(x_i)) - \sum_{i=1}^m (\ell(x_0))$$

= $m^2 j + m(m+1)/2 - m\ell(x_0)$
 $\equiv 0 \pmod{m}.$

Since ℓ is an α -labeling, for i = 1, 2, ..., m and t = 1, 2, ..., j, the pendant vertices $x_{m+(i-1)j+t}$ are labeled in such a way that

$$S_{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{t=1}^{j} \left(\ell(x_{i}) - \ell(x_{m+(i-1)j+t}) \right)$$

= $j \sum_{i=1}^{m} \ell(x_{i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{t=1}^{j} \left(\ell(x_{m+(i-1)j+t}) \right)$
= $0 \pmod{m}$, (since $S = S_{1} + S_{2}$ and $S, S_{1} \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$)

implying

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{t=1}^{j} \left(\ell(x_{m+(i-1)j+t})\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{m},$$

which holds only if $\ell(x_0)$ is chosen from the mj + 1 labels $0, 1, \ldots, mj$ in such a way that

$$\ell(x_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}.$$

Suppose $\ell(x_0) = 0$. Then the edge-labels of (x_0, x_i) for i = 1, 2, ..., m are

$$mj+1, mj+2, \ldots, mj+m.$$

Since the labels less than mj + 1 must still be used, we may determine the following locations for vertex labels in order:

1 can only label a vertex attached to x_1 , adding mj to the set of edgelabels,

2 can only label a vertex attached to x_1 , adding mj - 1 to the set of edge-labels,

÷

j can only label a vertex attached to x_1 , adding mj - (j-1) to the set of edge-labels.

All the pendant vertices attached to x_1 are labeled and j+1 cannot be used to label any pendant vertex attached to x_i for i = 2, 3, ..., m. Hence $\ell(x_0) \neq 0$.

Let $\ell(x_0) = tm$ with $1 \leq t \leq j$. Then the edge-labels of (x_0, x_i) for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ are

$$mj - mt + 1, mj - mt + 2, \ldots, mj - mt + m.$$

As above, we may determine the locations of certain vertex labels:

The only way to add edge-label m + mj is to use 0 to label a vertex attached to x_m ,

The only way to add edge-label m + mj - 1 is to use 1 to label a vertex attached to x_m ,

The only way to add edge-label m + mj - (j-1) is to use j-1 to label a vertex attached to x_m .

All the pendant vertices attached to x_m are labeled and the labels used are $0, 1, 2, \ldots, j - 1$. But the only way to add the edge-label m + mj - j is to use $r \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, j - 1\}$ to label a pendant vertex attached to x_{m-j+r} so that

$$\ell(x_{m-j+r}) - r = mj + (m-j+r) - r = m + mj - j,$$

which is impossible. Hence, we have a contradiction to our assumption that G has an α -labeling.

4 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we have given an example of constructing a graph with a graceful, bipartite labeling which can be decomposed into two isomorphic edge-disjoint trees consisting of a root node of degree m, each of whose neighbours is connected to j ($j \ge 1$) leaves.

This result is a special case of the conjecture that for every tree T, two copies of T can be packed into a graph with a graceful, bipartite labeling. The result remotely connects to the graceful tree conjecture which states that all trees are graceful. We have also explored the extent to which a bipartite labeling falls short of gracefulness.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the anonymous referee for the helpful suggestions concerning the presentation of the paper.

References

- G. Brinkmann, S. Crevals, H. Mélot, L. Rylands, and E. Steffen, αlabelings and the Structure of Trees with Nonzero α-deficit, *Discrete Math. Theoretical Comp. Science*, **14:1** (2012), 159–174.
- [2] S. El-Zanati, H.-L. Fu, and C.-L. Shiue, A note on the -labeling number of bipartite graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **214** (2000), 241–243.
- [3] J. A. Gallian. A Dynamic Survey of Graph Labeling, *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 19 (2012), #DS6.

_

- [4] A. Rosa. On certain valuations of the vertices of a graph, *Théorie des graphes*, Journées internationales d'étude, Rome (1966), 349-355.
- [5] A. Rosa, B. Širáň. Bipartite labelings of trees and gracesize. J. Graph Theory, 19 (1995), 201–205.
- [6] C. -L. Shiue, H. -L. Fu. α-labeling number of trees, *Discrete Math.*, **306** (2006), 3290–3296.
- [7] C. -L. Shiue, H. -C. Lu. Trees Which Admit No α-labelings, Ars Combinatoria, 103 (2012), 453–463.
- [8] H. Snevily, New families of graphs that have α-labeling, Discrete Math., 170 (1997), 185–194.
- [9] S.-L. Wu, A necessary condition for the existence of an α -labeling, preprint.